

<b>Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary</b>	Control No. 09/599,660	Patent Under Reexamination MOSER, JORG G.	
	Examiner Anish Gupta	Art Unit 1654	

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

- (1) Anish Gupta (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Bolesh Skutnik (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 May 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☒ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  
 Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  
Applicants were advised that they could limit the scope of the claims to the elected species to overcome the rejections of record. Other options available to Applicants would be to file an after-final amendment to see if some additional information could be incorporated in the claims. Applicants were advised that this after-final amendment would be reviewed for any new matter issues. Finally, Applicants asked if CIP/DIV applications could be filed from the 09/599,660. Applicants were told that such applications could be filed so long as they complied with the rules.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

  
 Examiner's signature, if required